

**COURT-II**  
**IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY**  
**(Appellate Jurisdiction)**

**APPEAL NO. 231 OF 2018 & IA NO. 1679 OF 2018**  
**& IA NO. 1680 OF 2018**

**Dated : 14<sup>th</sup> January, 2019**

**Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.K. Patil, Judicial Member**  
**Hon'ble Mr. Ravindra Kumar Verma, Technical Member**

**In the matter of:**

**Jaiprakash Power Ventures Limited**

**.... Appellant(s)**

**Versus**

**Madhya Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission &  
Ors.**

**.... Respondent(s)**

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Shri Venkatesh  
Mr. Sandeep Rajpurohit  
Mr. Samarth Kashyap  
Mr. Vikas Maini

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Ms. Aradhna Tandon  
Mr. Parinay Deep Shah for R-1  
  
Mr. Alok Shankar  
Mr. Mahip Singh for R-2

**ORDER**

**(IA No. 1679 of 2018- Delay in filing rejoinder)**

We have heard the learned counsel appearing for both the parties.

Learned counsel, Mr. Shri Venkatesh appearing for the Appellant submitted that, there is a delay of 10 days in filing the rejoinder which has been explained satisfactorily in the application. The same may kindly be accepted and delay may kindly be condoned.

Submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant, as stated above, is placed on record.

In the light of the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant and after perusal of the application explaining the delay in filing the rejoinder, we find it satisfactory as sufficient cause has been made out. The same is accepted and the delay in filing the rejoinder is condoned. IA No.1679 of 2018, for delay in filing the rejoinder is allowed.

**(IA No. 1680 of 2018- Delay in filing reply)**

We have heard the learned counsel appearing for both the parties.

Learned counsel, Ms. Arachna Tandon appearing for the Respondent no. 1 submitted that, there is a delay of 29 days in filing the reply which has been explained satisfactorily in the application. The same may kindly be accepted and delay may kindly be condoned.

Submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 1, as stated above, is placed on record.

In the light of the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 1 and after perusal of the application explaining the delay in filing the reply, we find it satisfactory as sufficient cause has been made out. The same is accepted and the delay in filing the reply is condoned. IA No.1680 of 2018, for delay in filing the reply is allowed.

**APPEAL NO. 231 OF 2018**

The learned counsel appearing for the Appellant and the Respondents submitted that pleadings are complete.

Submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant and the Respondents, as stated above, are placed on record.

List the matter for hearing on **14.02.2019** along with Appeal No. 283 of 2017 & connected cases as agreed by the learned counsel for the parties.

**(Ravindra Kumar Verma)**  
**Technical Member**  
mk/bn

**(Justice N.K. Patil)**  
**Judicial Member**